

The decrease in direct solar radiation was accompanied by an increase in diffuse sky radiation, as is shown by the Callendar pyrliometer measurements given in Table 2. May 20 and 26 were smoky days, and June 30 was an unusually clear day. There were practically no clouds on these three days before noon. The measurements were obtained as described and illustrated on pages 139 and 140 of the current volume of this Review.

The Callendar records indicate that from sunrise to noon on June 30 the sky radiation was 12 per cent of the total radiation, while on May 20 and 26 it was 32 per cent and 36 per cent, respectively.

The skylight polarization, measured at a point 90° from the sun and in the same vertical circle, with the sun at zenith distance 60°, was 31 per cent on May 20, 26 per cent on May 26, and 63 per cent on June 30.

It is no doubt due to the hygroscopic character of the particles constituting the smoke that its depleting effect on solar radiation was more marked during the morning, when the air temperature was low, than during the afternoon, when the air was warmer.

PHOTOMETRIC MEASURES OF THE ZODIACAL LIGHT.

By MAXWELL HALL.

[Dated Jamaica, W. I., June 5, 1914.]

1. In a former article on the zodiacal light¹ it was stated that a few observations had been made of the intensity of the light at different distances from the sun, as compared with the light of the sky at the same zenith distance at places as free from stars as possible; more observations of this character were made this year between February 19 and April 26, 1914, when the appearance of the young moon in the west and the commencement of the "May rains" put an end to the series which included both the clearest nights and the greatest brilliancy of the eastern branch of the zodiacal light, as seen in the evening in the west.

The reduction of the observations was commenced about the beginning of April, and although the measures forming any set were as a rule as uniform as could be expected, yet the resulting illuminations were discordant. However, by going through the original observations carefully, by striking out two sets which should not have been made, and by giving weights to all the remaining sets, results were obtained sufficiently good to compare with theory.

The instrument used was a dark-glass wedge about 4½ inches in length attached to the end of a small brass tube about 3½ inches in length and an inch in diameter; the tube was, of course, blackened inside, with a diaphragm near the wedge end so that the field in looking through the other end was as much as 6° in diameter; this reduced the light of the sky at night to a circular patch apparently no larger than the full moon near the zenith. The sharp edge of the tube completely enclosed the eye, thereby cutting off all side light, and the wedge could be easily moved by hand; light was required to read on a scale the position of the wedge which gave extinction of the circular patch, and repeated readings were alternately taken of the zodiacal light at a certain point in its axis, and of the light of the sky about the same altitude as free from the brighter stars as possible.

Let m and m' be the magnitude of two stars at the same zenith distance, so that their reduction to the zenith is the same; let l and l' be their light, and r and r' their extinction readings on the wedge photometer; then

$$m' - m = c(r - r') = 2.5 \log \frac{l}{l'}$$

where c is constant for the particular instrument used. Thus

$$\log \frac{l}{l'} = 0.4c(r - r');$$

and by observations made of several pairs of stars it was found that $0.4c = 0.18$.

Let S_0 be the light of the sky at 30° or more above the horizon on an average fine dark starlight night and r_0 its extinction reading; let S be the light at any time and r_s its reading; then

$$\log \frac{S}{S_0} = 0.18(r_s - r_0).$$

We shall take S_0 as unity and measure all such light in terms of S_0 . The corresponding value of r_0 was taken to be 5.7.

Let L be the illumination at any point in the central axis of the zodiacal light, reduced to the zenith, and let R be the reducing factor; so that $\frac{L}{R}$ is the apparent light at the point in question and $\frac{L}{R} + S$ is the whole illumination of the field of view. We thus get

$$\log \left(\frac{\frac{L}{R} + S}{S} \right) = 0.18(r - r_s),$$

where r is the extinction reading of the zodiacal light. This may be written

$$\log \left(\frac{L}{RS} + 1 \right) = 0.18(r - r_s),$$

and we thus get $\frac{L}{RS}$, or N , a known quantity. Finally,

$$\log L = \log N + \log R + \log S \quad (1)$$

For R , Seidel's table of the extinction of light by the atmosphere² increased by $\frac{1}{4}$ is used; this gives the magnitudes of stars down to 3° or 4° above the horizon to the nearest tenth of a magnitude (using the Revised Harvard Photometry) by a highly refined method adopted at Kempshot, so that it is absolutely correct for the present rough measurements, and, of course, $\log S = 0.18(r_s - 5.7)$.

The observations and their reductions will be found at the end of this article as formed into groups at different angular distances from the sun. The following are the general results:

Distance from sun.	Light.
α	L .
35°.....	7.2 ± 0.7
50°.....	2.4 ± 0.3
75°.....	1.1 ± 0.1
100°.....	0.71 ± 0.2
155°.....	0.25 ± 0.03
180°.....	0.42 ± 0.05

It is, however, important that we should obtain L along a line of sight as near the sun as possible, and the

¹ Bulletin, Mount Weather Observatory, Washington, 1914, 6, pt. 3, p. 69.

² Phil. trans., London, 1873. Seidel's table enlarged is given as Table 5 at the end of this article.