

of Alfa at Denver and North Platte (fig. 14) we find that while warming had occurred below 300 mb., nevertheless sufficient cooling took place above 300 mb. to permit a small rise in the 1,000-mb. height at North Platte and only a very small fall at Denver.

Although this study has revealed some interesting features in the history, structure, and environmental interaction of the anticyclone Alfa, it has left unanswered many questions on the formation and evolution of the High. The authors conclude with Wexler [3] that "it is quite impossible to discuss the anticyclone as a separate entity, with respect to either its origin or its role in the general circulation".

REFERENCES

1. F. A. Berry, Jr. and E. Bollay, "Air Masses", *Handbook of Meteorology*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., 1945, pp. 604-637.
2. A. K. Showalter, "Further Studies of American Air Masses", *Monthly Weather Review*, vol. 67, No. 7, July 1939, pp. 204-218.
3. H. Wexler, "Anticyclones", *Compendium of Meteorology*, American Meteorological Society, Boston, 1951, pp. 621-629.
4. U. S. Weather Bureau, "Normal Weather Charts for the Northern Hemisphere", *Technical Paper No. 21*, October 1952, 74 pp.
5. R. C. Sutcliffe and A. S. Forsdyke, "The Theory and Use of Upper Air Thickness Patterns in Forecasting", *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, vol. 76, No. 328, April 1950, pp. 189-217.
6. R. W. James, "The Latitude Dependency in Cyclones and Anticyclones," *Journal of Meteorology*, vol. 9, No. 4, August 1952, pp. 243-251.
7. W. J. Gibbs, "A Comparison of Hemisphere Circulations with Particular Reference to the Western Pacific," *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, vol. 79, No. 339, January 1953, pp. 121-136.
8. C. M. Lennahan, Monthly Sea Level Pressure Extremes, WBAN Analysis Center, Washington, D. C., 1950 (unpublished).

CORRECTION

MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW, vol. 80, No. 3, March 1953, page 82: In column 2, in text beneath table 1, total March 1953 precipitation at Boston should be 11.00 in. instead of 11.69 as given. The next sentence should read "The 24-hour total of 3.10 in. for the period ending about 1900 EST, March 30 is 0.06 in. greater than any previous 24-hour total in March."

