

## CORRECTION NOTICES

## Vol. 91, No. 6, June 1963:

- p. 299: In Table 1, in column headed "Actual day, Total", and row "Autumn, Dry", change "518" to "647".

## Vol. 93:

## No. 1, January 1965:

- p. 23: Equation (A2): right side should be multiplied by  $1/\Delta^2$ .
- p. 24: Equations (A6) and (A7): Insert the number 2 before  $ij$  and  $kl$  respectively in the second term of the second parenthesis of each equation.
- p. 24: The first sentence following (A7) should read: "Upon substitution of (A6) and (A7) into (A2) and equating coefficients of terms to the second order in  $\Delta$  with those of the analytic Jacobian, a new relation . . .".
- p. 24: Equation (A8): right side should be  $\frac{1}{4}$ ;
- p. 49: col. 2, 16 lines from bottom: In the wind shear term  $V$  should be in boldface type to indicate a vector.

## No. 2, February 1965:

- p. 98: Figures 7 and 8: The two illustrations should be interchanged. The smaller one is described by the caption designated figure 7, the larger by the caption designated figure 8.

## No. 4, April 1965:

Equations (5) and (6) on page 216 should be as follows:

$$A2N(j) \cdot v_1(j+1) + B1N(j) + \text{CON}(j) \cdot v_1(j-1) = \text{DFN}(j) \quad (5)$$

$$A2N(j) \cdot v_2(j+1) + B1N(j) + \text{CON}(j) \cdot v_2(j-1) = \text{EFN}(j) \quad (6)$$

Also, on page 217, the second line after the equation (6b) should be as follows:

$$gL1N = 2 \cdot \delta\xi \cdot B_k = gL2N$$

## No. 8, August 1965:

- p. 505: In table 1 the entries for altitude for Oklahoma City, Okla., and Greensboro, N.C., should be interchanged.
- p. 512, col. 2, line 11: The equation for  $\bar{m}$  for the case  $x > z$  should read:

$$\bar{m} = 1 + [y/(x-z)]$$

- p. 513, col. 1, line 3: The left side of the equation should read  $\bar{m}$  instead of  $m$ .
- p. 514, col. 1, line 12: The equation for  $y_1$  should read:

$$y_1 = \frac{y_R}{x_S + y_R}$$

- pp. 514 and 515, figures 1 and 2: The drawings in these figures should be interchanged, but captions remain as printed.

## No. 9, September 1965:

- pp. 540 and 541, tables 1, 3 and 4: The units of E should be labeled kilojoules  $\text{cm}^{-3}$  instead of joules  $\text{m}^{-2}$ .